JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)	.	CIVII CO	VER SHEET	\Box	2-1549
The JS 44 civil covered and	the information contained herein This form, approved by the Justet sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTION)	n neither replace nor su	upplement the filing and service		~ 1 ~ 7 ! !
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS KRISTY M. KELLER-SM	ітн /		DEFENDANTS RELIANCE STAND	DARD LIFE INSURANCE	COMPANY 49
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,)	Address, and Telephone Number) 1. Law Office of F. Michae		NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT!	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES OF NDEMNATION CASES, USE THOSE I AND INVOLVED.	·
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in One Bo	ox Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plain
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a	Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) PT Citizen of This State		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of I	Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT					
CONTRACT			FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES ☐ 375 False Claims Act
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise □ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 380 Other Personal Soft Other Soft Of Mandamus & Other Soft Civil Rights Soft Civil Rights Soft Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	□ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 □ 690 Other □ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Management Relations □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 12 Employee Retirement Income Security Act □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 750 Other Labor Litigation □ 15 Employee Retirement Income Security Act □ 762 Naturalization Application □ 465 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 □ ROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ □ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information □ Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure □ Act/Review or Appeal of □ Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of □ State Statutes
	moved from	ellate Court	(specify)	r District Litigation	ct
V GANGE CE LEE	L 29 U.S.C. Section 11	under which you are fill 132 (ERISA Section	ling (Do not cite jurisdictional state n 502)	utes unless diversity):	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of cause: Recovery of ERISA I				\widehat{A}
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A UNDER RULE 23, F.		DEMAND S	CHECK YES only i JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	(See instructions):	DGE		DOCKET NUMBER	APR -5 2017
DATE 04/04/2017	S	SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	NEY OF RECORD	PA Bar #: 22658	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					

JUDGE

APPLYING IFP

AMOUNT

RECEIPT#

MAG. JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

17

Attorney I.D.#

1549

assignment to appropriate calendar.	be used by counsel to mulcate the category of the case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff: 810 B Sunridge Court, Waupaca, WI 54981	
Address of Defendant: 2001 Market Street, Suite 1500, Philadelphia, F	
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: ERISA benefits claim was admin	istered and decided, upon information and belief
at Defendant's address in Philadelphia, PA. (Use Reverse Side For	Additional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corporation owning 19% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a	Yes□ X o ⊠
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No♠
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	
Case Number:Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y	vear previously terminated action in this court?
	Yes□ No⊠
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated
7 Describing the state of the s	Yes□ No⊠
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier terminated action in this court?	numbered case pending or within one year previously Yes No. 80
terminated action in this court;	168 HOM
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil righ	its case filed by the same individual?
	Yes□ No忆
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. Patent	5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. □ Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability - Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. ☐ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
All other Federal Question Cases Please specify) Employee Retirement Income Securities Act ("ERISA")
A DRITTA TION CERT	TIELC A TION
ARBITRATION CERT	
F. Michael Friedman , counsel of record do hereby cert	
□ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
DATE: 4. 4. 2017	22658
Attorney-at-Law	Attomey I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if th	ere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. APR -5 2017
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or except as noted above.	
	220559
DATE: (1.7.70/)	22658

CIV. 609 (5/2012)



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

サ.イ・2017 Date 610-453-3037	Attorney-at-law 610-903-4610	Attorney for Plum Fa			
		ů .			
		A			
	F. Michael Friedman				
(f) Standard Management	- Cases that do not fall into an	y one of the other tracks.	(x)		
commonly referred to a	Cases that do not fall into traces complex and that need species side of this form for a detailed	al or intense management by			
(d) Asbestos – Cases invol exposure to asbestos.	ving claims for personal injury	or property damage from	()		
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. (
b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. (
a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.					
SELECT ONE OF THE I	FOLLOWING CASE MANA	GEMENT TRACKS:			
plaintiff shall complete a C filing the complaint and ser side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant the plaintiff and all other p	vil Justice Expense and Delay Case Management Track Design Eve a copy on all defendants. (So event that a defendant does not t shall, with its first appearance	NO. Reduction Plan of this court, counse nation Form in all civil cases at the time § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the revolution agree with the plaintiff regarding submit to the clerk of court and service to be signation form specifying the terms.	e of erse said e on		
	•	CIVIL ACTION			

(Civ. 660) 10/02

F. MICHAEL FRIEDMAN ATTORNEY AND COUNCILOR AT LAW

PO BOX 467 DREXEL HILL, PA 19026-0467 50-259-7755

PAX 810-259-1220

fmf@mfriedmanlaw.com

340 N. LANDSDOWNE AVE.

April 4, 2016

17

1549

Clerk, United States District Court 2609 U.S. Courthouse 601 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19106-1729

Re: Keller-Smith v. Reliance Standard Life Insurance Company

Dear Sir/Madam:

MEMBER, PENNSTLVANIA 6 NEW IERSEY BARS

I enclose, with this letter, the original Complaint in the matter, Civil Cover Sheet, the Designation Form (2 copies), and Case Management Track Designation. I also enclosed a disk with pdf copies of all of these documents. In addition I enclose my check, payable to the order of the Clerk of Court, EDPA, for \$400.00 in payment of the filing fee.

Please contact me if there are any problems regarding this. As I am out of the office quite a bit you may call my cell phone, 610-453-3037 if there are any immediate problems.

Very truly yours,

F. Michael Friedman

FMF/mm Enclosures

cc: Hudson T. Ellis, Esquire

F. Michael Friedman, Esq.

Attorney ID #: 22658

P.O. Box 467

Drexel Hill, PA 19026-0467

t: 610.453-3037 f: 610.903.4610

e. fmf@mfriedmanlaw.com

Counsel for plaintiff

1549

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

KRISTY M. KELLER-SMITH,

Plaintiff,

v.

RELIANCE STANDARD LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

Civil Action No.

COMES NOW, Plaintiff, Kristy Keller-Smith, and makes the following representations to the Court for the purpose of obtaining relief from Defendant's refusal to pay long term disability (LTD) benefits due under an ERISA employee benefit plans, and for Defendant's other violations of the Employee Retirement Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"):

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court's jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1337 and 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e) (ERISA § 502(e)). Plaintiff's claims "relate to" "employee welfare benefits plan[s]" as defined by ERISA, 29 U.S.C. § 1001 et seq. and the subject Benefit Plan constitutes "plan[s] under ERISA."
- 2. The ERISA statute, at 29 U.S.C. § 1133, as well as Department of Labor regulations, at 29 C.F.R. § 2560.503-1 provide a mechanism for administrative or internal appeal

of benefits denials. In this case, those avenues of appeal have been exhausted and this matter is now properly before this court for judicial review.

3. Venue is proper within the Eastern District of Pennsylvania pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(2).

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff, Kristy Keller-Smith, (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), is currently and was at all relevant times, a resident of Waupaca County, Wisconsin,.
- 5. Defendant Reliance Standard Life Insurance Company (hereinafter "Reliance Standard"), is an insurance company authorized to transact the business of insurance in this state, and may be served with process at its place of business, as follows: 2001 Market Street, Suite 1500, Philadelphia, PA 19103.
- 6. Defendant Reliance Standard is the party obligated to pay benefits and to determine eligibility for benefits under Group Long Term Disability Policy No. LTD 118421, issued by Reliance Standard to McCain Foods USA, Incorporated.

FACTS

- 7. Plaintiff was employed by McCain Foods Incorporated ("McCain"), in its Wisconsin location.
- 8. By virtue of her employment, Plaintiff was enrolled in the Long Term Disability Plan under the McCain Foods USA, named "Inc. Employee Welfare Benefits Plan," which is an ERISA employee welfare benefit plan (the "Plan").
- Benefits under the Plan are insured by Reliance Standard under Group Long
 Term Disability Policy No. LTD 118421, issued by Reliance Standard to McCain.
 - 10. Plaintiff is a participant or beneficiary of the Plan.

- 11. Plaintiff ceased work due to a disability on or about May 20, 2009, while covered under the Plan.
- 12. Plaintiff has been and continues to be disabled as defined by the provisions of the Plan and relevant policies.
 - 13. Plaintiff filed an application for LTD benefits under the Plan.
- 14. Reliance Standard approved Plaintiff's application and paid benefits from November 16, 2009 until June 1, 2014.
- 15. By letter dated June 30, 2014, Reliance Standard terminated Plaintiff's LTD claim.
- 16. Plaintiff timely appealed the termination of her benefits, supporting her appeal with further evidence of her disability.
- 17. By letter dated May 27, 2015, Reliance Standard denied that appeal, and stated that its decision was final.
 - 18. Plaintiff has exhausted her administrative remedies under the Plan.
 - 19. Reliance Standard would pay any benefits due out of its own funds.
- 20. Reliance Standard owed Plaintiff duties as a fiduciary of the ERISA Plan, including the duty of loyalty.
- 21. Reliance Standard was under a perpetual conflict of interest because the benefits would have been paid out of its own funds.
- 22. Reliance Standard allowed its concern over its own funds to influence its decision-making.
- 23. Reliance Standard breached its fiduciary duties to Plaintiff, including the duty of loyalty.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR PLAN BENEFITS PURSUANT TO 29 U.S.C. §§ 1132(a)(1)(B)

PLAINTIFF incorporates the allegations contained in the above paragraphs as if fully stated herein and says further that:

- 24. Under the terms of the Plan and policy, Defendant agreed to provide Plaintiff with LTD benefits in the event that Plaintiff became disabled as defined by the Plan.
 - 25. Plaintiff is disabled and entitled to benefits under the terms of the Plan.
- 26. Defendant failed to provide benefits due under the terms of the Plan, and these denials of benefits to Plaintiff constitute breaches of the Plan.
 - 27. The decisions to deny benefits were wrong under the terms of the Plan.
- 28. The decisions to deny benefits and decision-making processes were arbitrary and capricious.
- 29. The decisions to deny benefits were influenced by the Defendant's financial conflict of interest.
- 30. The decisions to deny benefits were not supported by substantial evidence in the record.
- 31. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned conduct of the Defendant in failing to provide benefits for Plaintiff's disability, Plaintiff has been damaged in the amount equal to the amount of benefits to which she would have been entitled to under the Plan.
- 32. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned conduct of the Defendant in failing to provide benefits for Plaintiff's disability, Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer in the future, damages under the Plan, plus interest and other damages, for a total amount to be determined.

WHEREFORE. Plaintiff requests that this Court grant her the following relief in this case:

On Plaintiff's First Cause of Action:

- 1. A finding in favor of Plaintiff against the Defendant;
- 2. Damages in the amount equal to the disability income benefits to which she was entitled through the date of judgment, for unpaid benefits pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(1)(B);
 - 3. Prejudgment and postjudgment interest;
- 4. An Order requiring the Plan or appropriate Plan fiduciaries to pay continuing benefits in the future so long as Plaintiff remains disabled under the terms of the Plan, as well as any other collateral benefits to which she might be entitled on the basis of being disabled under the LTD plan, in the future so long as Plaintiff remains disabled under the terms of the Plan;
 - 5. Plaintiff's reasonable attorney fees and costs; and
 - 6. Such other relief as this court deems just and proper.

Dated this 4th day of April, 2017.

F. Michael Friedman, Esq. Counsel for plaintiff

Co-Counsel for the plaintiff
(Motion for admission pro hac vice to be filed)
Hudson T. Ellis, Esq.
Tenn Bar ID# 028330
414 McCallie Avenue
Chattanooga TN 37402
t: 423.634.2506

f: 423.634.2506 f: 423.634.2505

e: ellish@buchanandisability.com